

7984. Misbranding of The "3 Days" Cure. U. S. * * * v. 13 Bottles of The "3 Days" Cure. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 10578. I. S. Nos. 7891-r, 7892-r. S. No. C-1288.)

On June 16, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Indiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 13 bottles of The "3 Days" Cure, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Indianapolis, Ind., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about February 19, 1919, by The "3 Days" Cure Co., Washington, D. C., and transported from the District of Columbia into the State of Indiana, and charging misbranding under the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The article was labeled in part, "The '3 Days' Cure."

Analysis of a sample of the product by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the contents of the capsules consisted essentially of powdered cubebs and copaiba balsam.

Misbranding of the articles was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that the statements regarding the curative and therapeutic effects thereof, appearing on the labels and in the circulars accompanying the article, falsely and fraudulently represented that the article was a treatment, remedy, and cure for gonorrhœa, gleet, and inflammation in the urethral canal, when, in truth and in fact, it was not.

On January 2, 1920, no claimant having appeared for the property, a default decree of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and on February 24, 1920, it was ordered by the court that the article be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

7985. Misbranding of Cu-Co-Ba "Tarrant" and Compound Extract of Cubebs with Copaiba. U. S. * * * v. 140 Packages of Cu-Co-Ba "Tarrant," and 70 Packages of Compound Extract of Cubebs with Copaiba. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product ordered released under bond. (F. & D. No. 10597. I. S. Nos. 2869-r, 2870-r. S. No. W-416.)

On June 23, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 140 packages of Cu-Co-Ba "Tarrant," and 70 packages of Compound Extract of Cubebs with Copaiba, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Denver, Colo., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about August 15, 1918, and December 14, 1918, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Colorado, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The articles were labeled in part, "Cu-Co-Ba 'Tarrant'" and "Compound Extract of Cubebs with Copaiba."

Analyses of samples of the products by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the Cu-Co-Ba "Tarrant" consisted essentially of a mixture of copaiba balsam and oleoresin of cubebs, and that the Compound Extract of Cubebs with Copaiba consisted of a plastic mass containing the extractives of cubebs and copaiba with magnesium oxid.

Misbranding of the articles was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that the statements regarding the curative and therapeutic effects thereof, appearing on the labels and in the circulars accompanying the articles, falsely and fraudulently represented that the articles were a treatment, remedy, and cure for lesions of the mucous surfaces, excessive and annoying discharges, inflammations and irritations of the bladder, kidneys, prostate, urethra, and

vagina, gleet, gonorrhœa, and leucorrhœa, chronic bronchitis, irritation of prostate with frequent desire to urinate, contagious disorder known as gonorrhœa or clap, leucorrhœa or whites, inflammation of the bladder and urethra, when, in truth and in fact, it was not.

On October 28, 1919, the Tarrant Co., New York, N. Y., claimant, having consented to a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimant upon the payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$250, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the product be relabeled under the supervision of this department.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

7986. Misbranding of Prescription 1000 Internal and Prescription 1000 Injection. U. S. * * * v. 4 Dozen Bottles of Prescription 1000 Internal and 2 Dozen Bottles of Prescription 1000 Injection. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 10598. I. S. Nos. 16556-r, 16557-r. S. No. E-1551.)

On June 27, 1919, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Georgia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 4 dozen bottles of Prescription 1000 Internal and 2 dozen bottles of Prescription 1000 Injection, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Savannah, Ga., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about May 7, 1919, by the Reese Chemical Co., Cleveland, Ohio, and transported from the State of Ohio into the State of Georgia, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The articles were labeled in part, "Prescription 1000 Reese Chem. Co. Internal" and "Prescription 1000 Reese Chem. Co. Injection."

Analyses of samples of the products by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the Prescription 1000 Internal consisted of an alkaline emulsion of copaiba balsam, methyl salicylate, and water, and that the Prescription 1000 External (Injection) consisted essentially of a weak aqueous solution of potassium permanganate.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that the statements regarding the curative and therapeutic effects thereof, appearing on the labels and in the circulars accompanying the article, falsely and fraudulently represented that the article was a treatment, remedy, and cure for gonorrhœa and gleet, when, in truth and in fact, it was not.

On September 30, 1919, no claimant having appeared for the property, a decree of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

7987. Misbranding of G Zit Antiseptics and "G Zit" Complete-Stearns'. U. S. * * * v. 32 Packages \$11-size and 66 Packages \$6-size of "G Zit" Complete-Stearns', and 60 Packages \$1-size G Zit Antiseptics. Default decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product ordered released under bond. (F. & D. No. 10603. I. S. No. 15033-r. S. No. E-1561.)

On June 24, 1919, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels (2 seizures) for the seizure and condemnation of 32 packages \$11-size, 66 packages \$6 size of "G Zit" Complete-Stearns', and 60 packages \$1-size of G Zit Antiseptics, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that the